

THE TRUTH ABOUT: ELECTRONIC NICOTINE DELIVERY SYSTEMS

Since their introduction in the United States market, awareness, interest and use of electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) or Electronic Nicotine Delivery Systems (ENDS) has steadily grown. ENDS, also called vape pens, personal vaporizers, e-hookahs, e-pipes and e-cigars, among other names, are battery-operated devices that produce an aerosol (vapor) instead of smoke.

We've summarized the existing science on patterns of use of ENDS, the health and safety of ENDS, and the effectiveness of ENDS as a method for smoking cessation. We also address common perceptions of ENDS, the appeal and impact of flavors, marketing and advertising of ENDS, and public policy measures proposed and currently in effect to regulate their use.

ENDS are a rapidly changing technology. As the products and regulatory environment develop, so may the facts around their usage, health effects and public health impact. We will continue to monitor those developments and update this review to reflect the current state of knowledge.

BACKGROUND

WHAT ARE E-CIGARETTES OR ENDS?

ENDS are typically comprised of a cartridge containing fluid, a heating element and a battery.

- ENDS are available in three main types:
 1. Disposable "cigalike."
 2. Rechargeable "cigalike."
 3. Rechargeable and refillable vaporizers or tank-like products that do not look like cigarettes, have larger and adjustable voltage batteries and heating coils, sometimes called "Mods."
- Of these three types, evidence suggests that the larger tank-like or Mod devices deliver nicotine more efficiently and therefore are more popular among experienced users.¹⁻⁵



- Fluid for ENDS comes in many different flavors and nicotine concentrations (including no nicotine) and is sometimes called “juice” or “e-juice.”
- The U.S. federal government does not currently regulate ENDS, but under the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has released a draft rule asserting regulatory authority over ENDS and fluids. As of December 1, 2015, this rule, proposed in April 2014, has yet to be finalized.
- Currently, there are no enforceable, universal product standards that ENDS manufacturers must adhere to, although some claim to follow voluntary product standards.⁶ This means that there are no enforceable standards to ensure that all devices and fluids on the market are as safe as they can be for all consumers using them or exposed to them. There are also currently no national standards for child-resistant packaging.

WHERE WE STAND: ENDS

Regulation of Electronic Nicotine Delivery Systems (ENDS) is essential to ensure they are as safe as possible, that individual and population benefits are maximized while harms are minimized, and that youth do not use ENDS. One of the conclusions of the 2014 Surgeon General’s Report on The Health Consequences of Smoking stated, “the burden of death and disease from tobacco in the U.S. is overwhelmingly caused by cigarettes and other combusted tobacco products; rapid elimination of their use will dramatically reduce this burden.”⁷ If prudently regulated, we believe ENDS hold promise as one means to move smokers to a less harmful product and reduce the devastating death and disease burden caused by combustible tobacco products such as cigarettes, cigars or hookah.⁸

TRUTH INITIATIVE’S VISION IS A FUTURE WHERE TOBACCO IS A THING OF THE PAST.

- Our mission is to achieve a culture where all youth and young adults reject tobacco. Those who do not use tobacco, particularly young people, should never start using any tobacco or nicotine-containing product, including ENDS.
- For those who are already using combustible tobacco and are unable or unwilling to stop, we support movement to the exclusive use of less harmful alternatives with the goal of eventually stopping all tobacco and nicotine use.

TRUTH INITIATIVE SUPPORTS THE FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATIONS WITH REGARD TO ENDS:

- Prudent and expeditious regulation of ENDS to maximize benefits and minimize harms to protect individuals and public health, especially youth.
- Regulation of ENDS so that health and cessation claims may be appropriately reviewed by the FDA as a neutral regulatory body, thus allowing the public to make informed decisions regarding the relative harms and benefits of ENDS as compared to combustible tobacco use.
- Restriction and enforcement of ENDS product sales and marketing so that it does not:
 - Target or appeal to youth
 - Mislead the public

WHERE WE STAND: ENDS, CONTINUED

- Encourage dual use of tobacco products
- Undermine smoke-free air laws
- Restricting sales of all tobacco and nicotine products, including ENDS, to those 21 years of age and older.
- Eliminate flavors (including menthol) in all tobacco products, including ENDS, except when a manufacturer can demonstrate to the FDA that a relatively low-harm flavored product does not appeal to and is not marketed to youth (verified with careful post-market surveillance of actual usage patterns).
- Inclusion of ENDS in smoke-free indoor air laws.
- Reasonable manufacturing and consumer safety standards, including child-resistant packaging of e-liquids and cartridges, accurate labeling of ingredients and nicotine levels and appropriate safe handling and warning labels.

PATTERNS OF USE

YOUTH

- Between 2011 and 2014, national cross-sectional studies demonstrate rapid increases in ever and past 30-day use of ENDS by high school and middle school students in the U.S. The largest increase in past 30-day use of ENDS has been among high school students.^{9,10} Ever and past 30-day use of ENDS in these populations, as measured by the National Youth Tobacco Survey (NYTS), are summarized below in Table 1.

Table 1: Prevalence of ever use and past 30-day use of ENDS in youth and young adults (2011-2014)

	Youth		Middle School Students		High School Students	
	Ever Use	Past 30-Day Use	Ever Use	Past 30-Day Use	Ever Use	Past 30-Day Use
2011	3.3% ¹¹	1.1% ¹¹	1.4% ¹¹	0.6% ¹¹	4.7% ¹¹	1.5% ¹¹
2012	6.8% ¹¹	2.1% ¹¹	2.7% ¹¹	1.1% ¹¹	10.0% ¹¹	2.8% ¹¹
2013	8.0% ^a	3.1%	3.0% ¹²	1.1% ¹²	11.9% ¹²	4.5% ¹²
2014	19.8% ^a	9.3%	10.1% ^a	3.9% ⁹	27.3% ^a	13.4% ⁹

^a Data not reported in recent U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reports or in the published literature are publicly available on the CDC website: http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/surveys/nyts/


- There are limitations to measures of “ever use in one’s lifetime” and “use at least once in the past 30 days.” By their nature, the measures do not differentiate frequency of use and include both individuals who are experimenting with ENDS (i.e., ever used in lifetime or used 1-2 times in the past 30 days) and may not progress to more frequent use (e.g., on 20-30 days in the last 30 days).¹³⁻¹⁵
- In 2014, the NYTS also included measures of frequency of use during the past 30-day period, so that distinctions can be made between what is most likely experimental or trial use (e.g., 1-2 occasions) and more frequent use (e.g., all 30 days in the past month). For example, of the 13.4% of the population of high school students reporting any past 30-day ENDS use, 74% (or 9.9% of the population) had tried ENDS on 1-9 days, while only 9.7% (or 1.3% of the population) used ENDS all 30 days. Regular use (use on ≥20 days) was reported by 15.5% (or 2.1% of the population).^{9,16} Frequency of past 30-day use of ENDS among U.S. high school students is presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Frequency of past 30-day use of ENDS among the 13.4% of all U.S. high school students by number of days used and by percent of the population, NYTS, 2014.¹⁶

Days used/month	% high school ENDS users	% high school population	Cumulative%
1-2 days	45.4	6.1	6.1
3-5 days	16.2	2.2	8.3
6-9 days	12.0	1.6	9.9
10-19 days	10.9	1.4	11.3
20-29 days	5.8	0.8	12.1
All 30 days	9.7	1.3	13.4
Total	100%	13.4%	--

- Figure A shows the prevalence of tobacco and ENDS use by high school students from 2011 to 2014. It is important to keep in mind that the NYTS data are cross-sectional and capture behavior at one point in time. They cannot be used to imply causality or temporality, or to draw conclusions about what co-occurs with, leads to or follows ENDS use.¹⁷ Additionally, in 2014, the survey question on ENDS changed, thus prevalence is not directly equivalent to 2011-2013.⁹

Figure A: Past 30-Day Prevalence of Tobacco and ENDS Use in U.S. High School Students



NOTE: Includes published and unpublished NYTS data; all data are publicly available at http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/surveys/nyts/. The survey question on ENDS changed in 2014 and thus prevalence is not directly equivalent to 2011-2013.

- Exclusive use of ENDS at least once in the past 30 days increased to 4.4% among high school students in 2014.
- From 2011-2014, cigarette use at least once in the past 30 days among high school students declined in every year (15.2%, 12.7%, 11.6%, 8.9%, respectively). The steepest rate of decline to a record low occurred from 2013-2014.
- Past 30-day use of any type of combustible tobacco products (cigarettes, cigars, hookah, pipes and bidis) has declined more slowly (21.7%, 19.8%, 19.5%, 17.7%, respectively) in high school students. In 2014, past 30-day cigarette use was lower (8.9%) than ENDS use (13.4%); however, total combustible tobacco use (17.7%) was higher than total ENDS use (13.4%).
- The highest co-use of ENDS – meaning use of ENDS and at least one other tobacco product – is among cigarette smokers. About 76% of past 30-day ENDS users also smoked cigarettes, and about 24% had not smoked cigarettes.^{11,18-20} Assuming those rates apply to the 2014 NYTS data, it would appear there is little daily use of ENDS among high school students who are not otherwise using tobacco products. For example, if 24% of the 1.3% of high school students who were daily ENDS users according to NYTS were never or past smokers, this would be a total of 0.3% of the high school population.
- Several studies show that the majority of adolescents trying ENDS are also experimenting with other tobacco products, alcohol and marijuana.²¹⁻²⁹ These risk-taking behaviors travel together, which is consistent with the common liability model, which posits that no single product acts as a “gateway” to another, but rather early use of these substances stems from a shared vulnerability due to a number of common underlying bio-psycho-social factors.^{30,31}

YOUNG ADULTS

- The National Health Interview Survey (NHIS), conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau on behalf of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, first began to collect data about ENDS use

among adults in 2014. These data show that “ever” use of ENDS among young adults aged 18-24 years old in the U.S. in 2014 was 21.6%, while “every day” or “some days” use in the same age group totaled 5.1%.^{32,33}

- While young adults were significantly more likely to report ever use of ENDS than older adults, they were not more likely than other adults to be using ENDS “some days” or “every day.”³²
- The percentage of young adult never cigarette smokers who had “ever” tried ENDS was 9.7% compared to 3.2% among all adults.³³ It is unclear whether the prevalence of “some day” or “every day” ENDS use in never cigarette smokers differs in young adults compared to older adults.

ADULTS

- NHIS data show that ever use of ENDS among adults in the U.S. in 2014 was 12.6%, and that 3.7% of adults report ENDS use on “some days” or “every day.”^{32,33}
- These data show that recent former smokers (quit less than 1 year ago) were more likely to report using ENDS “every day” or “some days” (22.0%) than either adults who had never smoked (0.4%) or former smokers who had quit for one year or more (2.3%), or former smokers who quit cigarettes four or more years ago (0.8%).^{32,33} The patterns of use suggest that cigarette smokers may be using ENDS to quit or to prevent relapsing back to cigarettes during the year most proximal to their cessation of cigarettes.³⁴⁻⁴⁶

HEALTH & SAFETY

ENDS are substantially less harmful than the inhaled smoke from combustible tobacco (i.e., cigarettes). Long-term monitoring of health effects, addictiveness and toxicity is necessary to determine their absolute harm compared with no use of any products and their relative harm compared with other nicotine delivery products (e.g., nicotine replacement therapies) and other tobacco products (both non-combustible and combustible products).

RELATIVE HARM

- Public Health England, after reviewing currently available evidence on the subject, concluded that it was reasonable to estimate that ENDS are around 95% less harmful than smoking cigarettes.⁵⁰
- While some are concerned the precise percentage is hard to quantify, studies of the major biomarkers of cancer or other chemicals in ENDS indicate substantially (9-450 times) lower levels compared to the smoke from cigarettes, cigars, hookah and other combustible tobacco products.^{51,52}

TOXICITY AND ADVERSE EFFECTS

- Many ENDS contain nicotine. Nicotine can alter nerve cell functioning in developing organisms, especially during fetal, early childhood and adolescent stages of development and thus should not be used by youth or pregnant women.^{47,48} Nicotine may also be harmful for people with specific medical conditions, including cardiovascular disease and diabetes. However, even for individuals with those conditions, clean nicotine, for example in the form of FDA-approved nicotine replacement therapy, is much less harmful than smoking.⁴⁹
- Nicotine content in ENDS liquid and aerosol varies across manufacturers, devices, cartridges and even puff-to-puff.⁵³⁻⁶² Liquids and mainstream and exhaled aerosol contain the chemical propylene glycol,^{51,52,61,63-67} flavorings⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶ and some potentially harmful constituents. However, exposure to toxicants in ENDS aerosol is substantially lower (9-450 times lower) than in cigarette smoke.^{51,52,58,63-66,68-79}

- Published studies report the most common adverse events after use of ENDS as mouth and throat irritation, nausea, headache and dry cough.^{1,80-86} The FDA received 35 adverse event reports of passive aerosol exposure between January 2012 and December 2014. Twenty-five include respiratory symptoms, eight include eye irritation, eight include headache, six include nausea, six include sore throat/irritation, five include dizziness and five include racing/irregular heart rate.⁸⁷
- Data is very limited on the impact of secondhand exposure to ENDS aerosol on health. Low levels of nicotine in secondhand ENDS aerosol have been detected in some studies.⁸⁸⁻⁹⁰

ADDICTIVENESS

- Nicotine is an addictive substance, but its level of addictiveness can vary substantially depending on its mode of delivery; nicotine delivered via combustion of tobacco (e.g., in a cigarette) is its most addictive form.⁹¹ On the other hand, FDA-approved nicotine replacement therapies (NRTs) are minimally addictive^{49,92,93} and have been approved for long-term use.^{94,95}
- Overall, most ENDS at their current state of development are associated with lower levels of nicotine exposure and slower rates of uptake relative to cigarettes.^{5,96-98} Plasma nicotine levels have been shown to approach those achieved by cigarettes in more experienced ENDS users, depending on the product type, the nicotine concentration in the liquid and users' "puff topography," or the way they use ENDS.^{98,99} Thus, some ENDS have the potential to be addictive in some users. ENDS also bear some similarities to dependence-inducing tobacco products (e.g., cigarettes, smokeless tobacco) in terms of cigarette smoking withdrawal and craving suppression^{82,96,98-106} and positive subjective effects.^{5,82,98,99,102,107,108}

MISUSE AND UNINTENDED EXPOSURE

- Nicotine can be harmful if not properly stored and handled. With an estimated median lethal oral dose between one and 13 mg per kilogram of body weight, one teaspoon (5 ml) of a 1.8% nicotine solution could be lethal to a 200-lb (90-kg) person.¹⁰⁹
- The American Association of Poison Control Centers reported 271 ENDS exposures in 2011; 460 in 2012; 1,543 in 2013 and 3,783 ENDS exposures in 2014.¹¹⁰
- According to the 2013 annual report from the National Poison Data System (NPDS), ENDS exposure calls comprised 15% and cigarettes comprised 57% of all tobacco-related single exposure calls in 2013.¹¹¹ ENDS exposure calls comprised 35% of all tobacco-related single exposure calls at their peak in April 2014. Following April 2014, there was a decline in exposures, perhaps due to implementation of state/local regulations.¹¹¹

CIGARETTE SMOKING CESSATION

Current research suggests that ENDS can promote cessation of cigarettes, increase quit attempts, reduce symptoms of nicotine withdrawal, prevent relapse and potentially serve as a pathway to cessation of cigarettes. Further high-quality, randomized controlled trials and more rigorous observational studies are important to confirm findings from the research published to date.

- A comprehensive review by Public Health England concluded, "Smokers who have tried other methods of quitting without success could be encouraged to try e-cigarettes (EC) to stop smoking, and stop smoking services should support smokers using EC to quit by offering them behavioral support. There is also evidence that EC can encourage quitting or cigarette consumption reduction."⁵⁰
- The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, citing variability in the unregulated ENDS product

market, concluded there was still insufficient evidence to recommend ENDS use for smoking cessation.¹¹²

- Two randomized controlled trials (RCTs) show that ENDS are effective in helping some adult smokers to quit or to reduce their cigarette smoking.^{81,113} Rates of cessation in the ENDS groups were at least as good as those in the Clinical Practice Guideline of NRT using their standard six month follow-up criteria.¹¹⁴ A Cochrane review meta-analysis confirms the net effect.¹¹⁵
- Several observational studies measuring the effect of ENDS use on smoking cessation^{36,46,116-123} reported negative correlations between those who tried ENDS and smoking cessation. Due to (a) serious limitations of study design, measurement and methodology, including inadequate measures of exposure (e.g., ever use in one's lifetime) to be a fair test for a cessation indication, (b) selection bias and (c) confounders (e.g., smokers who have repeatedly failed to quit are more likely to try ENDS), we consider the conclusions invalid.¹²⁴⁻¹²⁶ This is not without precedent, as some observational studies of NRT and smoking cessation showed similar negative correlations,^{127,128} while more than 80 randomized control trials of NRT show strong positive cessation effects.¹²⁹
- Recent observational studies with more robust measures of how ENDS were used (e.g., duration of use, type of device and use specifically for cessation) suggest ENDS can facilitate quit attempts and cessation.¹³⁰⁻¹³³ Intensive use of ENDS for a month or more is associated with six times greater chance of cessation and a 20.4% quit rate.¹³⁰ Users of tank or Mod ENDS devices were more likely to quit than "cigalike" users.¹³³
- Because ENDS are more widely available and appealing to smokers than conventional nicotine replacement therapies (NRTs), they have the potential for making a large public health impact on population prevalence of smoking cessation.^{134,135} For example, in the United Kingdom, compared with conventional NRTs, more smokers are using ENDS to quit (20.7% vs. 35.1% respectively).¹³⁶ In the U.S., NHIS data also suggest ENDS may be displacing cigarette smoking. Recent former smokers (quit <1 year ago) were more likely to have used ENDS in the past 30 days (22.0%) than former smokers who had quit >1 year ago (2.3%).³³ In another study, recent quitters (<1 year) were four times more likely to be daily ENDS users than current smokers (13% vs. 3.5%). Only 0.8% of former smokers with more than four years since smoking cessation used ENDS, suggesting that ENDS are not attractive to long-term quitters.³²
- Some studies suggest that ENDS use may facilitate cigarette smoking cessation or reduce harms in dual users of both ENDS and cigarettes who also reduce cigarette use.^{81,137-140} It is difficult to determine to what extent dual use results in sufficiently sustained cigarette reduction for a net population health benefit.¹³⁷ The greatest health benefit would accrue if smokers switched immediately to exclusive ENDS use, or dual-used ENDS and cigarettes for a short time before stopping all cigarette use.^{134,135}

PERCEPTIONS OF ENDS

Most people, but especially smokers, are aware of ENDS, perceive them to be less harmful than traditional cigarettes and cite smoking cessation as a reason for use. However, there are some concerns that people are beginning to incorrectly believe that ENDS are just as harmful as combustible cigarettes. We need to carefully monitor perceptions in the U.S. on this issue and take appropriate steps to ensure consumers understand the relative harms of various nicotine delivery products.

- Awareness of ENDS and vaping is high in all populations, especially among smokers.^{20,39,40,42,44,141-145}
- ENDS are generally perceived to be less harmful^{23,146,147} and less addictive than regular cigarettes.^{37,148,149}
- Data from England suggest, “[a]lthough the majority of adults and youth still correctly perceive EC [electronic cigarettes] to be less harmful than tobacco cigarettes, there has been an overall shift towards the inaccurate perception of EC being at least as harmful as cigarettes over the last year, for both groups.”^{50,150-152} In the U.S., among current smokers aware of ENDS, there was a decline (85% in 2010 to 65% in 2012) in the proportion who believed that ENDS are less harmful than combustible cigarettes.^{42,143,153}
- One 2013 survey indicated that as many as 40% of U.S. adults perceived ENDS to be just as harmful or much more harmful than combustible cigarettes.¹⁵³ Those adults are mistaken.
- The most common reasons e-cigarette users cite for using the products are:
 1. as a smoking reduction/cessation aid,^{1,3,44,46,83,116,149,154-169} and in particular to avoid tobacco craving/withdrawal symptoms,²
 2. to avoid smoke-free policies and/or to avoid disturbing people with secondhand smoke^{1,3,44,46,83,147,154-158,160,162,163,166-172} and
 3. the perception that they are less harmful/less toxic than traditional cigarettes.^{2,3,44,46,144,147,149,154-158,160,161,163,165,169-171}
- E-cigarette users also report trying or using ENDS because they are less expensive than regular cigarettes,^{2,4,44,154-157,162,163,168-170} to prevent relapse back to cigarettes,^{2,155,169} out of curiosity,^{154,156,158,170} because they are accessible and convenient,^{170,172,173} for social reasons,^{158,170,172,174} because they taste^{156,163} and smell better^{155,170} and because they feel the experience is like smoking a regular cigarette but without the lingering odor.¹⁶⁸
- One study conducted in two middle schools, four high schools and two public universities in Connecticut found that in focus groups of young people who had ever tried an e-cigarette, the top reasons for experimentation were curiosity (54.4%), appealing flavors (43.8%) and peer influences (31.6%).¹⁷⁰ In the survey, fewer than 10% of participants in any age group reported experimentation because “it is cool.” Being “uncool” was one of the top reasons endorsed among middle school, high school and college students for stopping e-cigarette use.¹⁷⁰

FLAVORS

Flavored tobacco products appeal to youth, and flavors are cited as a reason for e-cigarette use by both youth and adults.

- Essentially all ENDS have a characterizing flavor, such as tobacco, menthol, fruit or candy flavor. As of January 2014, there were nearly 8,000 flavors.¹⁷⁵
- Menthol cigarettes have been shown to appeal to young people¹⁷⁶⁻¹⁷⁸ and facilitate the transition from experimentation to regular smoking.¹⁷⁹ It is likely that flavors in other tobacco products, including ENDS, also facilitate tobacco trial and longer-term use. Evidence indicates that flavors are viewed as an attractive characteristic of ENDS,¹⁷¹ and youth and adults cite flavors as a reason

for e-cigarette use.^{154,162,170}

- In 2013-2014, 85.3% of U.S. youth aged 12-17 years old who had used ENDS in the past 30 days reported using a flavored e-cigarette.¹⁸⁰ The prevalence of flavored e-cigarette use among adults is not currently available at the national level.
- One international study of adult users of ENDS (the large majority of whom were current or former smokers) indicates that the availability of flavored e-cigarette products is an important factor in choosing to use ENDS in their efforts to reduce or quit cigarette smoking.¹⁸¹
- In laboratory studies of human and animal cells, particular e-cigarette flavors may be more toxic than others, but all are less toxic than cigarette smoke extract.¹⁸²⁻¹⁸⁴ The most common flavor found to be toxic to human and animal cells is cinnamon.^{183,185-187} There are also studies examining the presence of chemicals associated with “butter” flavor, such as diacetyl (a common and approved food additive) in ENDS liquid.¹⁸⁸ Diacetyl has been associated with obliterative bronchiolitis, a serious lung condition among individuals with very high levels of workplace exposure. It is unknown what effect the presence of this flavoring in ENDS may have.

MARKETING

There has been tremendous growth in the marketing of ENDS since 2010. Unlike combustible cigarettes, there is no regulation of e-cigarette marketing at a national level and ENDS may be advertised on television. Youth and young adults are widely exposed to e-cigarette marketing and have high awareness of ENDS.

- ENDS are the most widely advertised noncombustible tobacco product.¹⁸⁹ Individuals aware of ENDS report that the most common platforms to hear about ENDS are through in-person communications, by seeing them for sale and through online and television advertisements, in which some celebrities have endorsed the products.^{44,190-193} ENDS are promoted heavily online through e-cigarette company sponsored advertisements,¹⁹⁵ and on YouTube¹⁹⁵⁻¹⁹⁸ and Twitter.¹⁹⁹
- Promotional spending across all media channels has rapidly increased since 2010.^{200,201} The total expenditure for e-cigarette advertisements across all media channels is increasing annually, and from 2011 to 2013 was primarily driven by blu eCigs.^{200,201} There were large increases in the marketing of MarkTen from 2013 to 2014. Both blu eCigs and MarkTen are owned by large tobacco companies primarily in the business of selling cigarettes.
- ENDS are commonly marketed as alternatives to conventional cigarettes,²⁰²⁻²⁰⁶ and e-cigarette advertisements often make unproven claims.¹¹⁷ The most common claims advertise ENDS as a healthier alternative to conventional cigarettes,²⁰⁴ a way to circumvent smoking bans^{202,203,205} and/or as a smoking cessation aid.^{117,204}
- A 2014 Congressional investigation of the marketing practices of nine popular brands found that many were sponsoring events geared toward youth, often handing out free samples, and running advertisements on television and radio.²⁰⁷
- Youth and young adults are exposed to e-cigarette advertisements with increasing frequency. Youth exposure to television ads increased by 256% from 2011 to 2013, and young adult exposure increased by 321%.²⁰⁸ One study conducted from April 2012 to April 2013 found that certain e-cigarette brands advertised on websites with substantial youth audiences (up to 35% of youth as their audience).²⁰⁹ Another study in 2014 showed that approximately 62% of U.S. teens aged

12-17 year olds and 64% of young adults aged 18-24 year olds were exposed to e-cigarette advertisements on television, and 47% of teens and 82% of young adults were exposed to e-cigarette print advertisements in magazines.²¹⁰

- Recent studies note potential impacts of e-cigarette advertising on e-cigarette intentions and use in youth and young adults. One randomized controlled trial found that among adolescents who had never used ENDS, those who were exposed to four e-cigarette television ads reported a greater intention to use ENDS in the future compared to those who did not view the e-cigarette ads, and current cigarette smoking was highly associated with likelihood of future e-cigarette use.²¹¹ Another randomized controlled trial in young adults showed that brief exposure to four print e-cigarette ads increased curiosity about ENDS in the young adults exposed to the ads, and ad exposure was also associated with subsequent trial of ENDS in a small proportion of the young adults who had never used an e-cigarette or cigarette at the start of the study.²¹²

CURRENT POLICY ENVIRONMENT AS OF DECEMBER 1, 2015

Nearly all states ban sales of ENDS to youth. There are no national policies restricting indoor use of ENDS, but several states have set limits in schools, workplaces, restaurants and bars. ENDS currently do not have to display warning labels, and few states levy a tax on ENDS or their components.

YOUTH ACCESS

- Currently, there are no policies limiting youth access to ENDS at the national level, but the proposed April 2014 FDA deeming rule, if finalized, would prohibit sales to individuals younger than eighteen.
- Sale of ENDS to minors is banned in all but four states – Massachusetts, Michigan, Pennsylvania and Texas – and the District of Columbia. Legislation has been passed in Montana and Oregon to ban sales to minors in the next year.²¹³⁻²¹⁵ However, vigorous enforcement of these sales restrictions will be necessary for them to be effective in reducing youth uptake.

SMOKE-FREE INDOOR AIR

- There are no policies restricting indoor use of ENDS at the national level.
- Three states (New Jersey, North Dakota and Utah) have a ban on ENDS in workplaces, restaurants and bars.²¹⁶
- Ten states (Arkansas, California, Colorado, Illinois, Minnesota, New Hampshire, Vermont, Virginia, Washington and West Virginia) ban ENDS in schools or on grounds used for instructional purposes.^{213,215}
- Four states (Hawaii, Delaware, Oregon and North Dakota) prohibit use of ENDS in state workplaces, one state (Maryland) prohibits use on public commuter rail, and five states (Kansas, North Carolina, South Dakota, Oklahoma and Utah) prohibit use in departments of corrections.^{213,215}

PRODUCT PACKAGING

- There are currently no mandated warning labels on e-cigarette packaging.

- Ten states (Illinois, Indiana, Minnesota, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia and Wyoming) require child-resistant packaging. Utah will implement rules for product packaging in 2016.²¹⁵

TAXES

- Two states have imposed a tax on ENDS. In Minnesota, one-time use ENDS and cartridges/e-juice containing nicotine are subject to the Tobacco Tax (95% of wholesale), but reusable/refillable devices and components and cartridges/e-juice containing no nicotine are not taxable. North Carolina taxes liquid nicotine at five cents per milliliter.^{214,215}

REFERENCES

1. Dawkins L, Turner J, Roberts A, Soar K. 'Vaping' profiles and preferences: an online survey of electronic cigarette users. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*. 2013;108(6):1115-1125.
2. Etter JF, Bullen C. Electronic cigarette: users profile, utilization, satisfaction and perceived efficacy. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*. 2011;106(11):2017-2028.
3. Foulds J, Veldheer S, Berg A. Electronic cigarettes (e-cigs): views of aficionados and clinical/public health perspectives. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2011;65(10):1037-1042.
4. McQueen A, Tower S, Sumner W. Interviews with "vapers": implications for future research with electronic cigarettes. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2011;13(9):860-867.
5. Vansickel AR, Eissenberg T. Electronic cigarettes: effective nicotine delivery after acute administration. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2013;15(1):267-270.
6. American E-Liquid Manufacturing Standards Association. *E-liquid Manufacturing Standards*. January 14, 2014.
7. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *The Health Consequences of Smoking—50 Years of Progress: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health;2014.
8. Abrams DB. Promise and peril of e-cigarettes: can disruptive technology make cigarettes obsolete? *JAMA*. 2014;311(2):135-136.
9. Arrazola RA, Singh T, Corey CG, et al. Tobacco use among middle and high school students - United States, 2011-2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2015;64(14):381-385.
10. Delnevo C, Gundersen D, Giovenco D, Bover-Manderski M, Giovino G. Measuring emerging tobacco product usage among young people. *Population Health Metrics*. n.d.;Under Review.
11. Corey CG, Wang B, Johnson SE, et al. Notes from the field: electronic cigarette use among middle and high school students - United States, 2011-2012. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2013;62(35):729-730.
12. Arrazola RA, Neff LJ, Kennedy SM, et al. Tobacco use among middle and high school students - United States, 2013. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2014;63(45):1021-1026.
13. Amato MS, Boyle RG, Levy D. How to define e-cigarette prevalence? Finding clues in the use frequency distribution. *Tob Control*. 2015.
14. Kozlowski L, Giovino G. Softening of monthly cigarette use in youth and the need to harden measures in surveillance. *Preventive Medicine Reports*. 2014;1:53-55.
15. Warner K. The remarkable decrease in cigarette smoking by American youth: further evidence. *Preventive Medicine Reports*. 2015;2:259-261.
16. Neff LJ, Arrazola RA, Caraballo RS, et al. Frequency of tobacco use among middle and high school students - United States, 2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2015;64(38):1061-1065.
17. Niaura RS, Glynn TJ, Abrams DB. Youth experimentation with e-cigarettes: another interpretation of the data. *JAMA Pediatrics*. 2014;312(6):1-2.
18. Lippert AM. Do adolescent smokers use e-cigarettes to help them quit? The sociodemographic correlates and cessation motivations of U.S. adolescent e-cigarette use. *American Journal of Health Promotion: AJHP*. 2015;29(6):374-379.
19. Cardenas VM, Breen PJ, Compadre CM, et al. The smoking habits of the family influence the uptake of e-cigarettes in U.S. children. *Annals of Epidemiology*. 2015;25(1):60-62.
20. Ambrose BK, Rostron BL, Johnson SE, et al. Perceptions of the relative harm of cigarettes and e-cigarettes among U.S. youth. *Am J Prev Med*. 2014;47(2 Suppl 1):S53-60.
21. Barnett TE, Soule EK, Forrest JR, Porter L, Tomar SL. Adolescent electronic cigarette use: associations with conventional cigarette and hookah smoking. *Am J Prev Med*. 2015;49(2):199-206.
22. Bartoli F, Carretta D, Crocamo C, et al. Prevalence and correlates of binge drinking among young adults using alcohol: a cross-sectional survey. *BioMed Research International*. 2014;2014:930795.
23. Berg CJ, Stratton E, Schauer GL, et al. Perceived harm, addictiveness, and social acceptability of tobacco products and marijuana among young adults: marijuana, hookah, and electronic cigarettes win. *Substance Use & Misuse*. 2015;50(1):79-89.
24. Camenga DR, Kong G, Cavallo DA, et al. Alternate tobacco product and drug use among adolescents who use electronic cigarettes, cigarettes only, and never smokers. *The Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2014.
25. Lessard J, Henrie J, Livingston JA, Leonard KE, Colder CR, Eiden RD. Correlates of ever having used electronic cigarettes among older adolescent children of alcoholic fathers. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2014;16(12):1656-1660.

26. Leventhal AM, Strong DR, Kirkpatrick MG, et al. Association of electronic cigarette use with initiation of combustible tobacco product smoking in early adolescence. *JAMA*. 2015;314(7):700-707.
27. Pentz MA, Shin H, Riggs N, Unger JB, Collison KL, Chou CP. Parent, peer, and executive function relationships to early adolescent e-cigarette use: a substance use pathway? *Addict Behav*. 2015;42:73-78.
28. Saddleson ML, Kozlowski LT, Giovino GA, et al. Risky behaviors, e-cigarette use and susceptibility of use among college students. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2015;149:25-30.
29. Wills TA, Knight R, Williams RJ, Pagano I, Sargent JD. Risk factors for exclusive e-cigarette use and dual e-cigarette use and tobacco use in adolescents. *Pediatrics*. 2015;135(1):e43-51.
30. Vanyukov MM, Tarter RE, Kirisci L, Kirillova GP, Maher BS, Clark DB. Liability to substance use disorders: 1. Common mechanisms and manifestations. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2003;27(6):507-515.
31. Vanyukov MM, Tarter RE, Kirillova GP, et al. Common liability to addiction and "gateway hypothesis": theoretical, empirical and evolutionary perspective. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2012;123 Suppl 1:S3-17.
32. Delnevo CD, Giovenco DP, Steinberg MB, et al. Patterns of electronic cigarette use among adults in the United States. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2015;In Press.
33. Schoenborn CA, Gindi RM. *Electronic Cigarette Use Among Adults: United States, 2014*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics; October 2015.
34. Ramo DE, Young-Wolff KC, Prochaska JJ. Prevalence and correlates of electronic-cigarette use in young adults: findings from three studies over five years. *Addict Behav*. 2015;41:142-147.
35. Kasza KA, Bansal-Travers M, O'Connor RJ, et al. Cigarette smokers' use of unconventional tobacco products and associations with quitting activity: findings from the ITC-4 U.S. cohort. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2014;16(6):672-681.
36. Popova L, Ling PM. Alternative tobacco product use and smoking cessation: a national study. *Am J Public Health*. 2013;103(5):923-930.
37. Choi K, Forster J. Characteristics associated with awareness, perceptions, and use of electronic nicotine delivery systems among young US Midwestern adults. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2013;103(3):556-561.
38. Giovenco DP, Lewis MJ, Delnevo CD. Factors associated with e-cigarette use: a national population survey of current and former smokers. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2014;47(4):476-480.
39. King BA, Alam S, Promoff G, Arrazola R, Dube SR. Awareness and ever-use of electronic cigarettes among U.S. adults, 2010-2011. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2013;15(9):1623-1627.
40. King BA, Patel R, Nguyen K, Dube SR. Trends in awareness and use of electronic cigarettes among U.S. adults, 2010-2013. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2015;17(2):219-227.
41. Regan AK, Promoff G, Dube SR, Arrazola R. Electronic nicotine delivery systems: adult use and awareness of the 'e-cigarette' in the USA. *Tob Control*. 2013;22(1):19-23.
42. Pearson JL, Richardson A, Niaura RS, Vallone DM, Abrams DB. E-cigarette awareness, use, and harm perceptions in US adults. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2012;102(9):1758-1766.
43. Cummins SE, Zhu SH, Tedeschi GJ, Gamst AC, Myers MG. Use of e-cigarettes by individuals with mental health conditions. *Tob Control*. 2014.
44. Zhu SH, Gamst A, Lee M, Cummins S, Yin L, Zoref L. The use and perception of electronic cigarettes and snus among the U.S. population. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(10):e79332.
45. Kalkhoran S, Grana RA, Neillands TB, Ling PM. Dual use of smokeless tobacco or e-cigarettes with cigarettes and cessation. *Am J Health Behav*. 2015;39(2):277-284.
46. Adkison SE, O'Connor RJ, Bansal-Travers M, et al. Electronic nicotine delivery systems: international tobacco control four-country survey. *Am J Prev Med*. 2013;44(3):207-215.
47. Suter MA, Abramovici AR, Griffin E, et al. In utero nicotine exposure epigenetically alters fetal chromatin structure and differentially regulates transcription of the glucocorticoid receptor in a rat model. *Birth Defects Research. Part A, Clinical and Molecular Teratology*. 2015;103(7):583-588.
48. Yuan M, Cross SJ, Loughlin SE, Leslie FM. Nicotine and the adolescent brain. *The Journal of Physiology*. 2015.
49. National Cancer Institute. *Dispelling myths about nicotine replacement therapy*. <http://smokefree.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/mythsaboutNRTfactsheet.pdf> [accessed August 11, 2015].
50. McNeill A, Brose LS, Calder R, Hitchman S, Hajek P, McRobbie H. *E-cigarettes: an evidence update*. London, England: Public Health England;2015.
51. Goniewicz ML, Knysak J, Gawron M, et al. Levels of selected carcinogens and toxicants in vapour from electronic cigarettes. *Tob Control*. 2014;23(2):133-139.

52. Hecht SS, Carmella SG, Kotandeniya D, et al. Evaluation of toxicant and carcinogen metabolites in the urine of e-cigarette users versus cigarette smokers. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2015;17(6):704-709.
53. Cameron JM, Howell DN, White JR, Andrenyak DM, Layton ME, Roll JM. Variable and potentially fatal amounts of nicotine in e-cigarette nicotine solutions. *Tob Control.* 2014;23(1):77-78.
54. Cobb NK, Byron MJ, Abrams DB, Shields PG. Novel nicotine delivery systems and public health: the rise of the "e-cigarette." *Am J Public Health.* 2010;100(12):2340-2342.
55. Etter JF, Zather E, Svensson S. Analysis of refill liquids for electronic cigarettes. *Addiction (Abingdon, England).* 2013;108(9):1671-1679.
56. Goniewicz ML, Kuma T, Gawron M, Knysak J, Kosmider L. Nicotine levels in electronic cigarettes. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2013;15(1):158-166.
57. Kubica P, Kot-Wasik A, Wasik A, Namiesnik J. "Dilute & shoot" approach for rapid determination of trace amounts of nicotine in zero-level e-liquids by reversed phase liquid chromatography and hydrophilic interactions liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry-electrospray ionization. *Journal of Chromatography. A.* 2013;1289:13-18.
58. Czogala J, Goniewicz ML, Fidelus B, Zielinska-Danch W, Travers MJ, Sobczak A. Secondhand exposure to vapors from electronic cigarettes. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2014;16(6):655-662.
59. Davis B, Dang M, Kim J, Talbot P. Nicotine concentrations in electronic cigarette refill and do-it-yourself fluids. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2015;17(2):134-141.
60. Goniewicz ML, Hajek P, McRobbie H. Nicotine content of electronic cigarettes, its release in vapour and its consistency across batches: regulatory implications. *Addiction (Abingdon, England).* 2014;109(3):500-507.
61. Hahn J, Monakhova YB, Hengen J, et al. Electronic cigarettes: overview of chemical composition and exposure estimation. *Tobacco Induced Diseases.* 2014;12(1):23.
62. Talih S, Balhas Z, Eissenberg T, et al. Effects of user puff topography, device voltage, and liquid nicotine concentration on electronic cigarette nicotine yield: measurements and model predictions. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2015;17(2):150-157.
63. Cheah NP, Chong NW, Tan J, Morsed FA, Yee SK. Electronic nicotine delivery systems: regulatory and safety challenges: Singapore perspective. *Tob Control.* 2014;23(2):119-125.
64. Schober W, Szendrei K, Matzen W, et al. Use of electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) impairs indoor air quality and increases FeNO levels of e-cigarette consumers. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health.* 2014;217(6):628-637.
65. Pellegrino RM, Tinghino B, Mangiaracina G, et al. Electronic cigarettes: an evaluation of exposure to chemicals and fine particulate matter (PM). *Ann Ig.* 2012;24(4):279-288.
66. Schripp T, Markewitz D, Uhde E, Salthammer T. Does e-cigarette consumption cause passive vaping? *Indoor Air.* 2013;23(1):25-31.
67. Geiss O, Bianchi I, Barahona F, Barrero-Moreno J. Characterisation of mainstream and passive vapours emitted by selected electronic cigarettes. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health.* 2015;218(1):169-180.
68. Kim HJ, Shin HS. Determination of tobacco-specific nitrosamines in replacement liquids of electronic cigarettes by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography. A.* 2013;1291:48-55.
69. Williams M, Villarreal A, Bozhilov K, Lin S, Talbot P. Metal and silicate particles including nanoparticles are present in electronic cigarette cartomizer fluid and aerosol. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(3):e57987.
70. McAuley TR, Hopke PK, Zhao J, Babaian S. Comparison of the effects of e-cigarette vapor and cigarette smoke on indoor air quality. *Inhalation Toxicology.* 2012;24(12):850-857.
71. Westenberger B. Evaluation of e-cigarettes. St Louis, MO: Food and Drug Administration. 2009:1-8.
72. Uchiyama S, Ohta K, Inaba Y, Kunugita N. Determination of carbonyl compounds generated from the e-cigarette using coupled silica cartridges impregnated with hydroquinone and 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine, followed by high-performance liquid chromatography. *Analytical Sciences: The International Journal of the Japan Society for Analytical Chemistry.* 2013;29(12):1219-1222.
73. Hutzler C, Paschke M, Kruschinski S, Henkler F, Hahn J, Luch A. Chemical hazards present in liquids and vapors of electronic cigarettes. *Archives of Toxicology.* 2014;88(7):1295-1308.
74. Kosmider L, Sobczak A, Fik M, et al. Carbonyl compounds in electronic cigarette vapors: effects of nicotine solvent and battery output voltage. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2014;16(10):1319-1326.
75. Farsalinos KE, Kistler KA, Gillman G, Voudris V. Evaluation of electronic cigarette liquids and aerosol for the presence of selected inhalation toxins. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2015;17(2):168-174.
76. Lerner CA, Sundar IK, Watson RM, et al. Environmental health hazards of e-cigarettes and their components: oxidants and copper in e-cigarette aerosols. *Environmental Pollution (Barking, Essex : 1987).* 2015;198:100-107.
77. Lisko JG, Tran H, Stanfill SB, Blount BC, Watson CH. Chemical composition and evaluation of nicotine, tobacco alkaloids, pH, and selected flavors in e-cigarette cartridges and refill solutions. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2015.

- ⁷⁸. Oh JA, Shin HS. Identification and quantification of several contaminated compounds in replacement liquids of electronic cigarettes by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatographic Science*. 2015;53(6):841-848.
- ⁷⁹. Tayyarah R, Long GA. Comparison of select analytes in aerosol from e-cigarettes with smoke from conventional cigarettes and with ambient air. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology: RTP*. 2014.
- ⁸⁰. Caponnetto P, Auditore R, Russo C, Cappello GC, Polosa R. Impact of an electronic cigarette on smoking reduction and cessation in schizophrenic smokers: a prospective 12-month pilot study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2013;10(2):446-461.
- ⁸¹. Caponnetto P, Campagna D, Cibella F, et al. Efficiency and safety of an eElectronic cigAreTte (ECLAT) as tobacco cigarettes substitute: a prospective 12-month randomized control design study. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(6):e66317.
- ⁸². Bullen C, McRobbie H, Thornley S, Glover M, Lin R, Laugesen M. Effect of an electronic nicotine delivery device (e cigarette) on desire to smoke and withdrawal, user preferences and nicotine delivery: randomised cross-over trial. *Tob Control*. 2010;19(2):98-103.
- ⁸³. Farsalinos KE, Romagna G, Tsiapras D, Kyrzopoulos S, Voudris V. Characteristics, perceived side effects and benefits of electronic cigarette use: a worldwide survey of more than 19,000 consumers. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2014;11(4):4356-4373.
- ⁸⁴. Hua M, Alfi M, Talbot P. Health-related effects reported by electronic cigarette users in online forums. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 2013;15(4):e59.
- ⁸⁵. Nides MA, Leischow SJ, Bhattar M, Simmons M. Nicotine blood levels and short-term smoking reduction with an electronic nicotine delivery system. *American Journal of Health Behavior*. 2014;38(2):265-274.
- ⁸⁶. Ordonez JE, Kleinschmidt KC, Forrester MB. Electronic cigarette exposures reported to Texas poison centers. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2015;17(2):209-211.
- ⁸⁷. Durmowicz EL, Rudy SF, Chen IL. Electronic cigarettes: analysis of FDA adverse experience reports in non-users. 2015.
- ⁸⁸. Flouris AD, Chorti MS, Poulianiti KP, et al. Acute impact of active and passive electronic cigarette smoking on serum cotinine and lung function. *Inhalation Toxicology*. 2013;25(2):91-101.
- ⁸⁹. Flouris AD, Poulianiti KP, Chorti MS, et al. Acute effects of electronic and tobacco cigarette smoking on complete blood count. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2012;50(10):3600-3603.
- ⁹⁰. Ballbe M, Martinez-Sanchez JM, Sureda X, et al. Cigarettes vs. e-cigarettes: Passive exposure at home measured by means of airborne marker and biomarkers. *Environmental Research*. 2014;135c:76-80.
- ⁹¹. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *How Tobacco Smoke Causes Disease: The Biology and Behavioral Basis for Smoking-Attributable Disease: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health;2010.
- ⁹². Luty J. Nicotine addiction and smoking cessation treatments. *Advances in Psychiatric Treatment*. 2002;8:42-48.
- ⁹³. Stratton K, Shetty P, Wallace R, Bondurant S, (Eds.). *Clearing the Smoke: Assessing the Science Base for Tobacco Harm*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2001.
- ⁹⁴. Shields PG. Long-term nicotine replacement therapy: cancer risk in context. *Cancer Prevention Research*. 2011;4(11):1719-1723.
- ⁹⁵. Food and Drug Administration. *Nicotine Replacement Therapy Labels May Change*. 2013; <http://www.fda.gov/ForConsumers/ConsumerUpdates/ucm345087.htm> [accessed Aug 13, 2015].
- ⁹⁶. Dawkins L, Corcoran O. Acute electronic cigarette use: nicotine delivery and subjective effects in regular users. *Psychopharmacology*. 2014;231(2):401-407.
- ⁹⁷. Farsalinos KE, Spyrou A, Tsimopoulou K, Stefopoulos C, Romagna G, Voudris V. Nicotine absorption from electronic cigarette use: comparison between first and new-generation devices. *Scientific Reports*. 2014;4:4133.
- ⁹⁸. Spindle TR, Breland AB, Karaoghlanian NV, Shihadeh AL, Eissenberg T. Preliminary results of an examination of electronic cigarette user puff topography: the effect of a mouthpiece-based topography measurement device on plasma nicotine and subjective effects. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2015;17(2):142-149.
- ⁹⁹. Vansickel AR, Cobb CO, Weaver MF, Eissenberg TE. A clinical laboratory model for evaluating the acute effects of electronic "cigarettes": nicotine delivery profile and cardiovascular and subjective effects. *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention*. 2010;19(8):1945-1953.
- ¹⁰⁰. Dawkins L, Turner J, Hasna S, Soar K. The electronic-cigarette: effects on desire to smoke, withdrawal symptoms and cognition. *Addict Behav*. 2012;37(8):970-973.
- ¹⁰¹. Dawkins L, Turner J, Crowe E. Nicotine derived from the electronic cigarette improves time-based prospective memory in abstinent smokers. *Psychopharmacology*. 2013;227(3):377-384.
- ¹⁰². Vansickel AR, Weaver MF, Eissenberg T. Clinical laboratory assessment of the abuse liability of an electronic cigarette. *Addiction*

(Abingdon, England). 2012;107(8):1493-1500.

- ¹⁰³ Dawkins L, Kimber C, Puwanesarasa Y, Soar K. First- versus second-generation electronic cigarettes: predictors of choice and effects on urge to smoke and withdrawal symptoms. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*. 2015;110(4):669-677.
- ¹⁰⁴ Etter JF. Explaining the effects of electronic cigarettes on craving for tobacco in recent quitters. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2015;148:102-108.
- ¹⁰⁵ Foulds J, Veldheer S, Yingst J, et al. Development of a questionnaire for assessing dependence on electronic cigarettes among a large sample of ex-smoking E-cigarette users. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2015;17(2):186-192.
- ¹⁰⁶ Lechner WV, Meier E, Wiener JL, et al. The comparative efficacy of first- versus second-generation electronic cigarettes in reducing symptoms of nicotine withdrawal. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*. 2015;110(5):862-867.
- ¹⁰⁷ Wagener TL, Meier E, Hale JJ, et al. Pilot investigation of changes in readiness and confidence to quit smoking after e-cigarette experimentation and 1 week of use. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2014;16(1):108-114.
- ¹⁰⁸ Harrell PT, Marquez NS, Correa JB, et al. Expectancies for cigarettes, e-cigarettes, and nicotine replacement therapies among e-cigarette users (aka vapers). *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2015;17(2):193-200.
- ¹⁰⁹ Bassett RA, Osterhoudt K, Brabazon T. Nicotine poisoning in an infant. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2014.
- ¹¹⁰ American Association of Poison Control Centers. *E-Cigarette Devices and Liquid Nicotine*. 2015; <http://www.aapcc.org/alerts/e-cigarettes/> [accessed May 13, 2015].
- ¹¹¹ Mowry JB, Spyker DA, Cantilena LR, Jr., McMillan N, Ford M. 2013 Annual Report of the American Association of Poison Control Centers' National Poison Data System (NPDS): 31st Annual Report. *Clinical Toxicology*. 2014;52(10):1032-1283.
- ¹¹² U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Tobacco Smoking Cessation in Adults, including Pregnant Women: Behavioral and Pharmacotherapy Interventions*. September 2015.
- ¹¹³ Bullen C, Howe C, Laugesen M, et al. Electronic cigarettes for smoking cessation: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2013;382(9905):1629-1637.
- ¹¹⁴ Tobacco Use and Dependence Guideline Panel. *Treating Tobacco Use and Dependence: 2008 Update*. Rockville (MD): US Department of Health and Human Services; 2008.
- ¹¹⁵ McRobbie H, Bullen C, Hartmann-Boyce J, Hajek P. Electronic cigarettes for smoking cessation and reduction. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2014;12:CD010216.
- ¹¹⁶ Vickerman KA, Carpenter KM, Altman T, Nash CM, Zbikowski SM. Use of electronic cigarettes among state tobacco cessation quitline callers. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2013;15(10):1787-1791.
- ¹¹⁷ Lee S, Grana RA, Glantz SA. Electronic cigarette use among Korean adolescents: a cross-sectional study of market penetration, dual use, and relationship to quit attempts and former smoking. *The Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2014;54(6):684-690.
- ¹¹⁸ Christensen T, Welsh E, Faseru B. Profile of e-cigarette use and its relationship with cigarette quit attempts and abstinence in Kansas adults. *Preventive Medicine*. 2014;69C:90-94.
- ¹¹⁹ Grana RA, Popova L, Ling PM. A longitudinal analysis of electronic cigarette use and smoking cessation. *JAMA Internal Medicine*. 2014.
- ¹²⁰ Borderud SP, Li Y, Burkhalter JE, Sheffer CE, Ostroff JS. Electronic cigarette use among patients with cancer: characteristics of electronic cigarette users and their smoking cessation outcomes. *Cancer*. 2014.
- ¹²¹ Prochaska JJ, Grana RA. E-cigarette use among smokers with serious mental illness. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(11):e113013.
- ¹²² Choi K, Forster JL. Authors' response. *Am J Prev Med*. 2014;46(6):e58-59.
- ¹²³ Al-Delaimy WK, Myers MG, Leas EC, Strong DR, Hofstetter CR. E-cigarette use in the past and quitting behavior in the future: a population-based study. *Am J Public Health*. 2015;105(6):1213-1219.
- ¹²⁴ Truth Initiative. *Where We Stand: FDA Can Balance Potential Benefits and Harms of ENDS* (comments submitted to FDA in July, 2015). 2015; <http://truthinitiative.org/sites/default/files/2015.06.30%20E-Cig%20FDA%20Workshop%20Docket%20FINAL.pdf>
- ¹²⁵ Pearson JL, Stanton CA, Cha S, Niaura RS, Luta G, Graham AL. E-cigarettes and smoking cessation: insights and cautions from a secondary analysis of data from a study of online treatment-seeking smokers. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2014.
- ¹²⁶ Shiffman S, Brockwell SE, Pillitteri JL, Gitchell JG. Use of smoking-cessation treatments in the United States. *Am J Prev Med*. 2008;34(2):102-111.
- ¹²⁷ Alberg AJ, Patnaik JL, May JW, et al. Nicotine replacement therapy use among a cohort of smokers. *Journal of Addictive Diseases*. 2005;24(1):101-113.
- ¹²⁸ Pierce JP, Gilpin EA. Impact of over-the-counter sales on effectiveness of pharmaceutical aids for smoking cessation. *JAMA*. 2002;288(10):1260-1264.
- ¹²⁹ Silagy C, Lancaster T, Stead L, Mant D, Fowler G. Nicotine replacement therapy for smoking cessation. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2004(3):CD000146.

- ¹³⁰ Biener L, Hargraves JL. A longitudinal study of electronic cigarette use among a population-based sample of adult smokers: association with smoking cessation and motivation to quit. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2015;17(2):127-133.
- ¹³¹ Brose LS, Hitchman SC, Brown J, West R, McNeill A. Is the use of electronic cigarettes while smoking associated with smoking cessation attempts, cessation and reduced cigarette consumption? A survey with a 1-year follow-up. *Addiction (Abingdon, England).* 2015;110(7):1160-1168.
- ¹³² Brown J, Beard E, Kotz D, Michie S, West R. Real-world effectiveness of e-cigarettes when used to aid smoking cessation: a cross-sectional population study. *Addiction (Abingdon, England).* 2014;109(9):1531-1540.
- ¹³³ Hitchman SC, Brose LS, Brown J, Robson D, McNeill A. Associations between e-cigarette type, frequency of use, and quitting smoking: findings from a longitudinal online panel survey in Great Britain. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2015.
- ¹³⁴ Fiore MC, Schroeder SA, Baker TB. Smoke, the chief killer--strategies for targeting combustible tobacco use. *The New England Journal of Medicine.* 2014;370(4):297-299.
- ¹³⁵ Cobb NK, Abrams DB. The FDA, e-cigarettes, and the demise of combusted tobacco. *The New England Journal of Medicine.* 2014;371(16):1469-1471.
- ¹³⁶ Smoking in England. Latest Statistics: Trends in electronic cigarette use in England (Smoking Toolkit Study). 2015; <http://www.smokinginengland.info/latest-statistics/> [accessed March, 2015].
- ¹³⁷ McNeill A, Etter JF, Farsalinos K, Hajek P, le Houezec J, McRobbie H. A critique of a World Health Organization-commissioned report and associated paper on electronic cigarettes. *Addiction (Abingdon, England).* 2014;109(12):2128-2134.
- ¹³⁸ Etter JF, Bullen C. A longitudinal study of electronic cigarette users. *Addict Behav.* 2014;39(2):491-494.
- ¹³⁹ Polosa R, Morjaria J, Caponnetto P, et al. Effect of smoking abstinence and reduction in asthmatic smokers switching to electronic cigarettes: evidence for harm reversal. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health.* 2014;11(5):4965-4977.
- ¹⁴⁰ Caponnetto P, Polosa R, Russo C, Leotta C, Campagna D. Successful smoking cessation with electronic cigarettes in smokers with a documented history of recurring relapses: a case series. *J Med Case Rep.* 2011;5(1):585.
- ¹⁴¹ Amrock SM, Zakhar J, Zhou S, Weitzman M. Perception of e-cigarette harm and its correlation with use among U.S. adolescents. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2015;17(3):330-336.
- ¹⁴² Wang B, King BA, Corey CG, Arrazola RA, Johnson SE. Awareness and use of non-conventional tobacco products among U.S. students, 2012. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine.* 2014;47(2 Suppl 1):S36-52.
- ¹⁴³ Tan AS, Bigman CA. E-cigarette awareness and perceived harmfulness: prevalence and associations with smoking-cessation outcomes. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine.* 2014;47(2):141-149.
- ¹⁴⁴ Pepper JK, Emery SL, Ribisl KM, Rini CM, Brewer NT. How risky is it to use e-cigarettes? Smokers' beliefs about their health risks from using novel and traditional tobacco products. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine.* 2015;38(2):318-326.
- ¹⁴⁵ Wackowski OA, Delnevo CD. Smokers' attitudes and support for e-cigarette policies and regulation in the USA. *Tob Control.* 2015.
- ¹⁴⁶ Camenga DR, Cavallo DA, Kong G, et al. Adolescents' and young adults' perceptions of electronic cigarettes for smoking cessation: a focus group study. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2015.
- ¹⁴⁷ Gallus S, Lugo A, Pacifici R, et al. E-cigarette awareness, use, and harm perceptions in Italy: a national representative survey. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2014;16(12):1541-1548.
- ¹⁴⁸ Berg CJ, Haardoefer R, Escoffery C, Zheng P, Kegler M. Cigarette users' interest in using or switching to electronic nicotine delivery systems for smokeless tobacco for harm reduction, cessation, or novelty: a cross-sectional survey of US adults. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2015;17(2):245-255.
- ¹⁴⁹ Goniewicz ML, Lingas EO, Hajek P. Patterns of electronic cigarette use and user beliefs about their safety and benefits: an Internet survey. *Drug Alcohol Rev.* 2013;32(2):133-140.
- ¹⁵⁰ Action on Smoking and Health. Use of electronic cigarettes among children in Great Britain. August 2015.
- ¹⁵¹ Action on Smoking and Health. Use of electronic cigarettes (vapourisers) among adults in Great Britain. May 2015.
- ¹⁵² Eastwood B, Dockrell MJ, Arnott D, et al. Electronic cigarette use in young people in Great Britain 2013-2014. *Public Health.* 2015.
- ¹⁵³ National Cancer Institute. *Health Information National Trends Survey: Awareness of Electronic Cigarettes and Perceptions of Harmfulness.* U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute; February 2015.
- ¹⁵⁴ Rutten LJ, Blake KD, Agunwamba AA, et al. Use of e-cigarettes among current smokers: associations among reasons for use, quit intentions, and current tobacco use. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2015.
- ¹⁵⁵ Tucker JS, Shadel WG, Golinelli D, Ewing B. Alternative tobacco product use and smoking cessation among homeless youth in Los Angeles County. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2014.
- ¹⁵⁶ Schmidt L, Reidmohr A, Harwell TS, Helgersson SD. Prevalence and reasons for initiating use of electronic cigarettes among adults in Montana, 2013. *Preventing Chronic Disease.* 2014;11:E204.

- ¹⁵⁷ Kadimpati S, Nolan M, Warner DO. Attitudes, beliefs, and practices regarding electronic nicotine delivery systems in patients scheduled for elective surgery. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*. 2015;90(1):71-76.
- ¹⁵⁸ Pepper JK, Ribisl KM, Emery SL, Brewer NT. Reasons for starting and stopping electronic cigarette use. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2014;11(10):10345-10361.
- ¹⁵⁹ Polosa R, Caponnetto P, Maglia M, Morjaria JB, Russo C. Success rates with nicotine personal vaporizers: a prospective 6-month pilot study of smokers not intending to quit. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14:1159.
- ¹⁶⁰ Baumann AW, Kohler C, Kim YI, et al. Differences in electronic cigarette awareness, use history, and advertisement exposure between black and white hospitalized cigarette smokers. *Journal of Cancer Education*. 2014.
- ¹⁶¹ Brown J, West R, Beard E, Michie S, Shahab L, McNeill A. Prevalence and characteristics of e-cigarette users in Great Britain: findings from a general population survey of smokers. *Addictive Behaviors*. 2014;39(6):1120-1125.
- ¹⁶² Farsalinos KE, Romagna G, Voudris V. Factors associated with dual use of tobacco and electronic cigarettes: a case control study. *The International Journal on Drug Policy*. 2015;26(6):595-600.
- ¹⁶³ Hummel K, Hoving C, Nagelhout GE, et al. Prevalence and reasons for use of electronic cigarettes among smokers: findings from the International Tobacco Control (ITC) Netherlands Survey. *The International Journal on Drug Policy*. 2015;26(6):601-608.
- ¹⁶⁴ Kralikova E, Novak J, West O, Kmetova A, Hajek P. Do e-cigarettes have the potential to compete with conventional cigarettes? A survey of conventional cigarette smokers' experiences with e-cigarettes. *Chest*. 2013;144(5):1609-1614.
- ¹⁶⁵ Li J, Bullen C, Newcombe R, Walker N, Walton D. The use and acceptability of electronic cigarettes among New Zealand smokers. *The New Zealand Medical Journal*. 2013;126(1375):48-57.
- ¹⁶⁶ Dockrell M, Morrison R, Bauld L, McNeill A. E-cigarettes: prevalence and attitudes in Great Britain. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2013;15(10):1737-1744.
- ¹⁶⁷ Harrington KF, Hull NC, Akindoju O, et al. Electronic cigarette awareness, use history, and expected future use among hospitalized cigarette smokers. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2014.
- ¹⁶⁸ Richardson A, Pearson J, Xiao H, Stalgaitis C, Vallone D. Prevalence, harm perceptions, and reasons for using noncombustible tobacco products among current and former smokers. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2014:e1-e8.
- ¹⁶⁹ Etter JF. Electronic cigarettes: a survey of users. *BMC Public Health*. 2010;10:231.
- ¹⁷⁰ Kong G, Morean ME, Cavallo DA, Camenga DR, Krishnan-Sarin S. Reasons for electronic cigarette experimentation and discontinuation among adolescents and young adults. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2015;17(7):847-854.
- ¹⁷¹ McDonald EA, Ling PM. One of several 'toys' for smoking: young adult experiences with electronic cigarettes in New York City. *Tob Control*. 2015.
- ¹⁷² Peters RJ, Jr., Meshack A, Lin MT, Hill M, Abughosh S. The social norms and beliefs of teenage male electronic cigarette use. *Journal of Ethnicity in Substance Abuse*. 2013;12(4):300-307.
- ¹⁷³ Choi K, Fabian L, Mottey N, Corbett A, Forster J. Young adults' favorable perceptions of snus, dissolvable tobacco products, and electronic cigarettes: findings from a focus group study. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2012;102(11):2088-2093.
- ¹⁷⁴ Barbeau AM, Burda J, Siegel M. Perceived efficacy of e-cigarettes versus nicotine replacement therapy among successful e-cigarette users: a qualitative approach. *Addict Sci Clin Pract*. 2013;8(1):5.
- ¹⁷⁵ Zhu SH, Sun JY, Bonnevie E, et al. Four hundred and sixty brands of e-cigarettes and counting: implications for product regulation. *Tob Control*. 2014;23 Suppl 3:iii3-iii9.
- ¹⁷⁶ Hersey JC, Ng SW, Nonnemaker JM, et al. Are menthol cigarettes a starter product for youth? *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2006;8(3):403-413.
- ¹⁷⁷ Hersey JC, Nonnemaker JM, Homs G. Menthol cigarettes contribute to the appeal and addiction potential of smoking for youth. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2010;12 Suppl 2:S136-146.
- ¹⁷⁸ Giovino GA, Villanti AC, Mowery PD, et al. Differential trends in cigarette smoking in the USA: is menthol slowing progress? *Tob Control*. 2015;24(1):28-37.
- ¹⁷⁹ Nonnemaker J, Hersey J, Homs G, Busey A, Allen J, Vallone D. Initiation with menthol cigarettes and youth smoking uptake. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*. 2013;108(1):171-178.
- ¹⁸⁰ Ambrose BK, Day HR, Rostron B, et al. Flavored tobacco product use among US youth aged 12-17 years, 2013-2014. *JAMA*. 2015:1-3.
- ¹⁸¹ Farsalinos KE, Romagna G, Tsiapras D, Kyrzopoulos S, Spyrou A, Voudris V. Impact of flavour variability on electronic cigarette use experience: an internet survey. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2013;10(12):7272-7282.
- ¹⁸² Cervellati F, Muresan XM, Sticozzi C, et al. Comparative effects between electronic and cigarette smoke in human keratinocytes and epithelial lung cells. *Toxicology in Vitro*. 2014;28(5):999-1005.
- ¹⁸³ Farsalinos KE, Romagna G, Alliffranchini E, et al. Comparison of the cytotoxic potential of cigarette smoke and electronic cigarette vapour extract on cultured myocardial cells. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2013;10(10):5146-5162.
- ¹⁸⁴ Misra M, Leverette RD, Cooper BT, Bennett MB, Brown SE. Comparative in vitro toxicity profile of electronic and tobacco

- cigarettes, smokeless tobacco and nicotine replacement therapy products: e-liquids, extracts and collected aerosols. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2014;11(11):11325-11347.
- ¹⁸⁵ Bahl V, Lin S, Xu N, Davis B, Wang YH, Talbot P. Comparison of electronic cigarette refill fluid cytotoxicity using embryonic and adult models. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2012;34(4):529-537.
- ¹⁸⁶ Behar RZ, Davis B, Wang Y, Bahl V, Lin S, Talbot P. Identification of toxicants in cinnamon-flavored electronic cigarette refill fluids. *Toxicology in Vitro*. 2014;28(2):198-208.
- ¹⁸⁷ Lerner CA, Sundar IK, Yao H, et al. Vapors produced by electronic cigarettes and e-juices with flavorings induce toxicity, oxidative stress, and inflammatory response in lung epithelial cells and in mouse lung. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(2):e0116732.
- ¹⁸⁸ Allen JG, Flanigan SS, LeBlanc M, Vallarino J, MacNaughton P, Stewart JH, Christiani DC. Flavoring chemicals in e-cigarettes: Diacetyl, 2,3-Pentanedione, and Acetoin in a sample of 51 products, including fruit-, candy-, and cocktail-flavored e-cigarettes. *Environ Health Perspect*; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1510185>
- ¹⁸⁹ Richardson A, Ganz O, Stalgaitis C, Abrams D, Vallone D. Noncombustible tobacco product advertising: how companies are selling the new face of tobacco. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2014;16(5):606-614.
- ¹⁹⁰ Pepper JK, Emery SL, Ribisl KM, Brewer NT. How U.S. adults find out about electronic cigarettes: implications for public health messages. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2014.
- ¹⁹¹ Morris DS, Fiala SC. Online electronic cigarette retailers can do more to prevent accidental poisonings. *Tob Control*. 2015;24(4):415-416.
- ¹⁹² Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids. 7 ways e-cigarette companies are copying Big Tobacco's playbook (or 7 reasons FDA should quickly regulate e-cigarettes). 2013; http://www.tobaccofreekids.org/tobacco_unfiltered/post/2013_10_02_ecigarettes [accessed November 8].
- ¹⁹³ Kamerow D. Big Tobacco lights up e-cigarettes. *BMJ* (Clinical research ed.). 2013;346:f3418.
- ¹⁹⁴ Ayers JW, Ribisl KM, Brownstein JS. Tracking the rise in popularity of electronic nicotine delivery systems (electronic cigarettes) using search query surveillance. *Am J Prev Med*. 2011;40(4):448-453.
- ¹⁹⁵ Paek HJ, Kim S, Hove T, Huh JY. Reduced harm or another gateway to smoking? Source, message, and information characteristics of e-cigarette videos on YouTube. *Journal of Health Communication*. 2014;19(5):545-560.
- ¹⁹⁶ Hua M, Yip H, Talbot P. Mining data on usage of electronic nicotine delivery systems (ENDS) from YouTube videos. *Tob Control*. 2013;22(2):103-106.
- ¹⁹⁷ Cranwell J, Murray R, Lewis S, Leonardi-Bee J, Dockrell M, Britton J. Adolescents' exposure to tobacco and alcohol content in YouTube music videos. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*. 2014.
- ¹⁹⁸ Luo C, Zheng X, Zeng DD, Leischow S. Portrayal of electronic cigarettes on YouTube. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14:1028.
- ¹⁹⁹ Huang J, Kornfield R, Szczyka G, Emery SL. A cross-sectional examination of marketing of electronic cigarettes on Twitter. *Tob Control*. 2014;23 Suppl 3:iii26-iii30.
- ²⁰⁰ Kim AE, Arnold KY, Makarenko O. E-cigarette advertising expenditures in the U.S., 2011-2012. *Am J Prev Med*. 2014;46(4):409-412.
- ²⁰¹ Kornfield R, Huang J, Vera L, Emery SL. Rapidly increasing promotional expenditures for e-cigarettes. *Tob Control*. 2015;24(2):110-111.
- ²⁰² eCigs b. blu cartridges. 2013; <http://www.blucigs.com/store/cartridges> [accessed July 2, 2013].
- ²⁰³ U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Transcript for FDA's media briefing on electronic cigarettes. Moderator: Judy Leon. July 22, 2009. 1:30 pm CT. 2009.
- ²⁰⁴ Grana RA, Ling PM. "Smoking revolution": a content analysis of electronic cigarette retail websites. *Am J Prev Med*. 2014;46(4):395-403.
- ²⁰⁵ NJOY. NJOY. 2013; <http://www.njoy.com/> [accessed July 3].
- ²⁰⁶ USA GSI. Green Smoke. 2013; <http://www.greensmoke.com/>.
- ²⁰⁷ McCarthy M. E-cigarette companies target youth, US congressional study finds. *BMJ* (Clinical research ed.). 2014;348:g2871.
- ²⁰⁸ Duke JC, Lee YO, Kim AE, et al. Exposure to electronic cigarette television advertisements among youth and young adults. *Pediatrics*. 2014;134(1):e29-36.
- ²⁰⁹ Richardson A, Ganz O, Vallone D. Tobacco on the web: surveillance and characterisation of online tobacco and e-cigarette advertising. *Tob Control*. 2015;24(4):341-347.
- ²¹⁰ Truth Initiative. *Vaporized: E-Cigarettes, Advertising, and Youth*. Washington, DC 2015.
- ²¹¹ Farrelly MC, Duke JC, Crankshaw EC, et al. A randomized trial of the effect of e-cigarette TV advertisements on intentions to use e-cigarettes. *Am J Prev Med*. 2015.
- ²¹² Villanti AC, Rath JM, Williams VF, et al. Impact of exposure to e-cigarette advertising on susceptibility and trial of e-cigarettes and cigarettes in U.S. young adults: a randomized controlled trial. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2015; In Press.

²¹³ Control JHIfGT. *U.S. State and Country Laws Regulating E-cigarettes: A Policy Scan*. Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health;2014.

²¹⁴ National Conference of State Legislatures. *Alternative Nicotine Products: Electronic Cigarettes*. 2015; <http://www.ncsl.org/research/health/alternative-nicotine-products-e-cigarettes.aspx> [accessed May 7, 2015].

²¹⁵ Tobacco Control Legal Consortium. *U.S. E-Cigarette Regulation: A 50-State Review*. Saint Paul, MN: Public Health Law Center; 2015.

²¹⁶ Marynak K, Holmes CB, King BA, Promoff G, Bunnell R, McAfee T. State laws prohibiting sales to minors and indoor use of electronic nicotine delivery systems - United States, November 2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2014;63(49):1145-1150.

SELECTED TRUTH INITIATIVE PUBLICATIONS ON ENDS

1. Truth Initiative (formerly Legacy). *Vaporized: E-cigarettes, Advertising, and Youth*. Washington, DC; 2014.
2. Cobb NK, Byron MJ, Abrams DB, Shields PG. Novel nicotine delivery systems and public health: the rise of the "e-cigarette." *Am J Public Health*. 2010 Dec;100(12):2340-2 100. PMID: 21068414.
3. Cobb NK, Abrams DB. E-Cigarette or drug-delivery device? Regulating novel nicotine products. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2011 Jul 21;365(3):193-5. PMID: 21774706.
4. Rath JM, Villanti AC, Abrams DB, Vallone DM. Patterns of tobacco use and dual use in US young adults: the missing link between youth prevention and adult cessation. *J Environ Public Health*. 2012;2012:679134. PMID: 22666279. Full text.
5. Pearson JL, Richardson A, Niaura RS, Vallone DM, Abrams DB. E-cigarette (ENDS) awareness, use, and harm perceptions in US adults. *Am J Public Health*. 2012 Sep;102(9):1758-66. Epub 2012 Jul 19. PMID: 22813087. Abstract.
6. Cobb NK, Abrams DB. Commentary on Wagener et al. (2012): Laissez-faire regulation: turning back the clock on the Food and Drug Administration and public health. *Addiction*. 2012 Sep;107(9):1552-4. PMID: 22594851.
7. Lariscy JT, Hummer RA, Rath JM, Villanti AC, Hayward MD, Vallone DM. Race/ethnicity, nativity and tobacco use among US young adults: results from a nationally-representative survey. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2013 Aug; 15(8):1417-26. PMID: 23348968. Full text.
8. Villanti AC, Richardson A, Vallone DM, Rath JM. Flavored tobacco product use among U.S. young adults. *Am J Prev Med*. 2013 Apr;44:388-91. PMID: 23498105. Abstract.
9. Cobb NK, Cobb CO. Regulatory challenges for refined nicotine products. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2013 Aug; 1(6):431-433. PMID: 24429231.
10. Rath JM, Villanti AC, Rubenstein RA, Vallone DM. Tobacco use by sexual identity among young adults in the United States. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2013 Nov;15(11):1822-31. PMID: 23680918. Abstract.
11. Abrams DB. Promise and peril of e-cigarettes: can disruptive technology make cigarettes obsolete? *JAMA*. 2014 Jan 8;311(2):135-136. PMID: 24399548.
12. Abrams DB. Potential and pitfalls of e-cigarettes--reply. *JAMA*. 2014 May 14;311(18):1922-33. PMID: 24825654. Full text.
13. Richardson A, Ganz O, Stalgaitis C, Abrams D, Vallone D. Noncombustible tobacco product advertising: how companies are selling the new face of tobacco. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2014 May;16(5):606-14. PMID: 24379146. Abstract.
14. Richardson A, Pearson J, Xiao H, Stalgaitis C, Vallone D. Prevalence, harm perceptions, and reasons for using noncombustible tobacco products among current and former smokers. *Am J Public Health*. 2014 Aug;104(8):1437-44. PMID: 24922154. Abstract.
15. Richardson A, Williams V, Rath J, Villanti AC, Vallone D. The next generation of users: prevalence and longitudinal patterns of tobacco use among US young adults. *Am J Public Health*. 2014 Aug;104(8):1429-36. PMID: 24922152. Abstract.
16. Niaura RS, Glynn TJ, Abrams DB. Youth experimentation with e-cigarettes: another interpretation of the data. *JAMA*. 2014 Aug 13;312(6):641-2. PMID: 25117133.
17. Cobb NK, Abrams DB. The FDA, e-cigarettes, and the demise of combusted tobacco. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2014 Oct 16;371(16):1469-71. PMID: 25317866. Full text.
18. Abrams DC, Niaura R. The importance of science-informed policy and what the data really tell us about e-cigarettes. *Israel Journal of Health Policy Research*. 2015;4:22.
19. Pearson JL, Stanton CA, Cha S, Niaura RS, Luta G, Graham AL. E-cigarettes and smoking cessation: insights and cautions from a secondary analysis of data from a study of online treatment-seeking smokers. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2014 Dec 26. [Epub ahead of print]. PMID: 25542911. Abstract.
20. Wackowski OA, Delnevo CD, Pearson JL. Switching to e-cigarettes in the event of a menthol cigarette ban. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2015 Jan 29. [Epub ahead of print]. PMID: 25634935.
21. Walton KM, Abrams DB, Bailey WC, Clark D, Connolly GN, Djordjevic MV, Eissenberg TE, Fiore MC, Goniewicz ML, Haverkos L, Hecht SS, Henningfield JE, Hughes JR, Oncken CA, Postow L, Rose JE, Wanke KL, Yang L, Hatsukami DK. NIH electronic cigarette workshop: developing a research agenda. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2015 Feb;17(2):259-269. PMID: 25335949. Abstract.
22. Cobb NK, Brookover J, Cobb CO. Forensic analysis of online marketing of electronic nicotine delivery systems. *Tob Control*. 2015 Mar;24(2):128-31. PMID: 24038037. Abstract.
23. Ganz O, Cantrell J, Moon-Howard J, Aidala A, Kirchner TR, Vallone D. Electronic cigarette advertising at the point-of-sale: a gap in tobacco control research. *Tob Control*. 2015 Mar;24(e1):e110-2. PMID: 24619455.
24. Glasser AM, Cobb CO, Teplitskaya L, Ganz O, Katz L, Rose SW, Feirman S, Villanti AC. Electronic nicotine delivery devices and their impact on health and patterns of tobacco use: a systematic review protocol. *BMJ Open*. 2015 Apr 29;5(4):e007688. PMID: 25926149. Full text.

25. Abrams DB, Glasser AM, Villanti AC, Niaura R (2015). Cigarettes: the rise and decline but not demise of the greatest behavioral health disaster of the 20th century. Chapter in: Kaplan R, Spittel M, David D. (Eds.) *Emerging Behavioral and Social Science Perspectives on Population Health*. AHRQ Publication No. 15-0002. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality and Office of Behavioral and Social Sciences Research, National Institutes of Health; May 2015. Pages 143- 168.
26. Cobb CO, Villanti AC, Graham AL, Pearson JL, Glasser AM, Rath JM, Stanton CA, Levy D, Abrams DB, Niaura RS. Markov modeling to estimate the population impact of emerging tobacco products: a proof-of-concept study. *Tob Reg Sci*. 2015 July; 1(2):121-41. (No PMID)
27. Richardson A, Ganz O, Vallone D. Tobacco on the web: surveillance and characterization of online tobacco and e-cigarette advertising. *Tob Control*. 2015 Jul; 24(4):341-7. PMID: 24532710. Abstract.
28. Delnevo CD, Villanti AC, Wackowski OA, Gundersen DA, Giovenco DP. The influence of menthol, e-cigarettes and other tobacco products on young adults' self-reported changes in past year smoking. *Tob Control*. 2015 Aug 4. [Epub ahead of print]. PMID: 26243809. Abstract.
29. Cohn A, Villanti A, Richardson A, Rath JM, Williams V, Stanton C, Mermelstein R. The association between alcohol, marijuana use, and new and emerging tobacco products in a young adult population. *Addict Behav*. 2015 Sep;48:79-88. Abstract.
30. Delnevo CD, Giovenco DP, Steinberg MB, Villanti AC, Pearson JL, Niaura RS, Abrams DB. Patterns of electronic cigarette use among adults in the United States. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2015; Epub ahead of print, 2 Nov.
31. Villanti AC, Rath JM, Williams VF, Pearson JL, Richardson A, Abrams DB, Niaura RS, Vallone DM. Impact of exposure to e-cigarette advertising on susceptibility and trial of e-cigarettes and cigarettes in U.S. young adults: a randomized controlled trial. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2015; Epub ahead of print. Abstract.